

### REMARKS

Applicants elect with traverse Group I, claims 1-25 in part, and as a species elect (2E, 4E)-5-(3,3-dimethyl-7-methoxy-2,3-dihydrobenzoxepin-5-yl)-3-methylpenta-2,4-dienoic acid. Additionally, the claims are clarified so that they are directed to a method of treatment. As species of treatment, hyperuricemia is elected. The traversal is on the basis that the PTO has not established that it would pose an undue burden to examine the full scope of the application.

In accordance with M.P.E.P. 803.02, the Examiner is reminded that, should no prior art be found which renders the invention of the elected species unpatentable, the search of the remainder of the generic claim(s) should be continued in the same application. Since the decisions in *In re Weber*, 580 F.2d 455, 198 USPQ 328 (CCPA 1978) and *In re Haas*, 580 F.2d 461, 198 USPQ 334 (CCPA 1978), it is improper for the Office to refuse to examine that which applicants regard as their invention, unless the subject matter in a claim lacks unity of invention. See MPEP 803.02 in accord.

No fee is believed to be due with this response; however, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402.

Respectfully submitted,

/Csaba Henter/

---

Csaba Henter, Reg. No. 50,908  
Anthony J. Zelano, Reg. No. 27,969  
Attorneys for Applicants

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO  
& BRANIGAN, P.C.  
Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1  
2200 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 1400  
Arlington, VA 22201  
Direct Dial: 703-812-5331  
Facsimile: 703-243-6410  
Attorney Docket No.:MERCK-2822

Date: **May 15, 2007**

K:\Merck\2000 - 2999\2822\Reply May 07.doc